

Use of Force

300.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidelines on the reasonable use of force by sworn personnel for legitimate purposes. While there is no way to specify the exact amount or type of reasonable force to be applied in any situation, every member of this department is expected to use these guidelines to make such decisions in a professional, impartial, and reasonable manner.

This policy is based on the Defensive and Arrest Tactics (DAAT) program established by the Wisconsin Department of Justice - Law Enforcement Standards Board and adheres to all applicable federal, state, and local laws.

In addition to those methods, techniques, and tools set forth below, the guidelines for the reasonable application of force contained in this policy shall apply to all policies addressing the potential use of force, including but not limited to the Control Devices and Techniques and Conducted Energy Device policies.

300.1.1 DEFINITIONS

Definitions related to this policy include:

Deadly force - The intentional use of a firearm or other instrument that creates a high probability of death or great bodily harm.

De-escalation - An officer's use of time, distance, and relative positioning, in combination with Professional Communications skills, to attempt to stabilize a situation and reduce the immediacy of a threat posed by an individual.

Defensive and Arrest Tactics (DAAT) - A system of verbalization skills coupled with physical alternatives. It is the specific system formulated, approved, and governed by the Wisconsin Department of Justice - Law Enforcement Standards Board.

Feasible - Reasonably capable of being done or carried out under the circumstances to successfully achieve the arrest or lawful objective without increasing risk to the officer or another person.

Force - The application of physical techniques or tactics, chemical agents, or weapons to another person. It is not a use of force when a person allows him/herself to be searched, escorted, handcuffed, or restrained.

Great Bodily Harm - Bodily injury which creates a substantial risk of death, or which causes serious permanent disfigurement, or which causes a permanent or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily member or organ or any other serious bodily injury.

Intervention Options - Trained techniques for intervening and gaining control in a disturbance. The techniques are categorized into five modes; presence, dialogue, control alternatives, protective alternatives, and deadly force, each reflecting the need for an increasing level of control. A list of trained intervention options can be found in Appendix A of this policy.

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

Objective Reasonableness Standard - The standard established by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Graham v. Connor*, and incorporated in §175.44(2)(b), Wis. Stat., that reasonableness should be judged under the totality of the circumstances from the perspective of a reasonable officer at the scene with similar training and experience. Three elements of the standard are:

1. The severity of the alleged crime at issue.
2. Whether the person poses an imminent threat to the safety of officers and/or others.
3. Whether the person is actively resisting seizure or attempting to evade seizure by flight.

Reasonable Belief - A conclusion reached by an ordinary, prudent and reasonably intelligent police officer that a certain fact situation exists under the totality of circumstances perceived by the officer at the time the officer acted.

Reasonable Force - A physical act by a police officer in the performance of duty used to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement goal and objectively reasonable under the totality of circumstances as perceived by the officer at the time the officer acted. The totality of circumstances perceived by the officer can include statements made by the person or a known prior history of resistive or assaultive behavior.

Imminent - "About to happen". An imminent threat is an immediate threat. For a subject's threat to be considered imminent, it must meet three criteria: Intent, Weapon, Delivery System.

Totality of the circumstances - All facts and circumstances known to the officer at the time, taken as a whole, including the conduct of the officer and the subject leading up to the use of force.

300.2 POLICY

The use of force by law enforcement personnel is a matter of critical concern, both to the public and to the law enforcement community. Officers are involved on a daily basis in numerous and varied interactions and, when warranted, may use reasonable force in carrying out their duties.

Officers must have an understanding of, and true appreciation for, their authority and limitations. This is especially true with respect to overcoming resistance while engaged in the performance of law enforcement duties.

The Hobart-Lawrence Police Department recognizes and respects the value of all human life and dignity without prejudice to anyone. Vesting officers with the authority to use reasonable force and to protect the public welfare requires monitoring, evaluation, and a careful balancing of all interests. This policy is intended to fulfill the requirements of §66.0511(2), Wis. Stat.

300.2.1 DUTY TO INTERCEDE AND REPORT

Any officer present and observing another law enforcement officer or a member using force that is clearly beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances shall, when in a position to do so, intercede to prevent the use of unreasonable force (Wis. Stat. § 175.44).

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

Any officer who observes another law enforcement officer or a member use force that is potentially beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances shall report these observations to a supervisor as soon as feasible (Wis. Stat. § 175.44).

300.2.2 PERSPECTIVE

When observing or reporting force used by a law enforcement officer, each officer should take into account the totality of the circumstances and the possibility that other law enforcement officers may have additional information regarding the threat posed by the subject.

300.3 USE OF FORCE

Officers shall use only that amount of force that reasonably appears necessary given the facts and circumstances perceived by the officer at the time of the event to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose.

The reasonableness of force will be judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene at the time of the incident. Any evaluation of reasonableness must allow for the fact that officers are often forced to make split-second decisions about the amount of force that reasonably appears necessary in a particular situation, with limited information and in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving.

Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation an officer might encounter, officers are entrusted to use well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident. A force decision shall be based on the Disturbance Resolution Model and the Intervention Options incorporated in the DAAT system and found in Appendix A and B of this policy. In deciding whether to use force, including which intervention options are appropriate, officers are permitted to consider all information known to the officer at the time of the incident, including conduct or statements made by the subject and prior history of resistive or assaultive behavior.

It is also recognized that circumstances may arise in which officers reasonably believe that it would be impractical or ineffective to use any of the tools, weapons or methods provided by this department. Officers may find it more effective or reasonable to improvise their response to rapidly unfolding conditions that they are confronting. In such circumstances, the use of any improvised device or method must nonetheless be reasonable and utilized only to the degree that reasonably appears necessary to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose.

While the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury, nothing in this policy requires an officer to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force. Nevertheless, when the level of force used by an officer is not effective in gaining control of the subject, the officer may choose to either disengage or escalate to a higher level of force. This decision will be based on the totality of circumstances and concern for the safety of the officer, citizens, and the subject.

When time and circumstances reasonably permit, officers shall consider whether a subject's lack of compliance is a deliberate attempt to resist or an inability to comply based on factors including, but not limited to:

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

- Medical condition,
- Mental impairment,
- Developmental disability,
- Physical limitations,
- Language barrier,
- Alcohol/drug induced impairment,
- and/or Behavioral crisis.

Officer's may escalate their use of force when a lower force option has failed, or when a lower force option would be clearly ineffective. Officers may use force legitimately when it is needed to achieve control in five specific situations:

- To achieve and maintain control of resistive subjects;
- To detain persons reasonably suspected of criminal behavior;
- To make lawful arrests;
- To defend themselves or others;
- To prevent escape;
- To take a person into custody for emergency detention or protective custody.

When an officer of the Hobart-Lawrence Police Department uses force in the performance of their duties, their use of force must fit into one of these categories:

- A trained technique;
- A dynamic application of a trained technique;
- A technique not trained but justified under the circumstances.

300.3.1 ALTERNATIVE TACTICS - DE-ESCALATION

When circumstances reasonably permit, officers should use non-violent strategies and techniques to decrease the intensity of a situation, improve decision-making, improve communication, reduce the need for force, and increase voluntary compliance (e.g., summoning additional resources, formulating a plan, attempting verbal persuasion).

300.3.2 USE OF FORCE TO EFFECT AN ARREST

A law enforcement officer may use reasonable force to arrest a person or execute a warrant. Additionally, a law enforcement officer making a lawful arrest may command the aid of any person, and such person shall have the same power as that of the law enforcement officer (Wis. Stat. § 968.07; Wis. Stat. 968.14).

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

300.3.3 FACTORS USED TO DETERMINE THE REASONABLENESS OF FORCE

When determining whether to apply force and evaluating whether an officer has used reasonable force, a number of factors should be taken into consideration, as time and circumstances permit.

These factors include but are not limited to:

- (a) Immediacy and severity of the threat to officers or others.
- (b) The conduct of the individual being confronted, as reasonably perceived by the officer at the time.
- (c) Officer/subject factors (e.g., age, size, relative strength, skill level, injuries sustained, level of exhaustion or fatigue, the number of officers available vs. subjects).
- (d) The effects of suspected drug or alcohol use.
- (e) The individual's mental state or capacity.
- (f) The individual's ability to understand and comply with officer commands.
- (g) Proximity of weapons or dangerous improvised devices.
- (h) The degree to which the individual has been effectively restrained and his/her ability to resist despite being restrained.
- (i) The availability of other reasonable and feasible options and their possible effectiveness.
- (j) Seriousness of the suspected offense or reason for contact with the individual.
- (k) Training and experience of the officer.
- (l) Potential for injury to officers, suspects, and others.
- (m) Whether the individual appears to be resisting, attempting to evade arrest by flight, or is attacking the officer.
- (n) The risk and reasonably foreseeable consequences of escape.
- (o) The apparent need for immediate control of the individual or a prompt resolution of the situation.
- (p) Whether the conduct of the individual being confronted no longer reasonably appears to pose an imminent threat to the officer or others.
- (q) Prior contacts with the individual or awareness of any propensity for violence.
- (r) Any other exigent circumstances.

300.3.4 PAIN COMPLIANCE TECHNIQUES

Pain compliance techniques may be effective in controlling a physically or actively resisting individual. Officers may only apply those pain compliance techniques for which they have successfully completed department-approved training. Officers utilizing any pain compliance technique should consider:

- (a) The degree to which the application of the technique may be controlled given the level of resistance.

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

- (b) Whether the individual can comply with the direction or orders of the officer.
- (c) Whether the individual has been given sufficient opportunity to comply.

The application of any pain compliance technique shall be discontinued once the officer determines that compliance has been achieved.

300.3.5 CAROTID CONTROL HOLD

A carotid control hold is a technique designed to control an individual by temporarily restricting blood flow through the application of pressure to the side of the neck. The proper application of the carotid control hold may be effective in restraining a violent or combative individual. However, due to the potential for injury, the use of the carotid control hold is limited to those circumstances where deadly force is authorized and is subject to the following (Wis. Stat. § 66.0511):

- (a) At all times during the application of the carotid control hold, the response of the individual should be monitored. The carotid control hold should be discontinued when circumstances indicate that the application no longer reasonably appears necessary.
- (b) Any individual who has had the carotid control hold applied, regardless of whether he/she was rendered unconscious, shall be promptly examined by paramedics or other qualified medical personnel and should be monitored until such examination occurs.
- (c) The officer shall inform any person receiving custody, or any person placed in a position of providing care, that the individual has been subjected to the carotid control hold and whether the individual lost consciousness as a result.
- (d) Any officer attempting or applying the carotid control hold shall promptly notify a supervisor of the use or attempted use of such hold.
- (e) The use or attempted use of the carotid control hold shall be thoroughly documented by the officer in any related reports.

300.3.6 CHOKE HOLD

The use of a choke hold, which is the intentional and prolonged application of force to the throat or windpipe, is limited to circumstances where deadly force is authorized and if applied, is subject to the same guidelines and requirements as a carotid control hold (Wis. Stat. § 66.0511).

300.3.7 USE OF FORCE TO SEIZE EVIDENCE

In general, officers may use reasonable force to lawfully seize evidence and to prevent the destruction of evidence. However, officers are discouraged from using force solely to prevent a person from swallowing evidence or contraband. In the instance when force is used, officers should not intentionally use any technique that restricts blood flow to the head, restricts respiration or which creates a reasonable likelihood that blood flow to the head or respiration would be restricted. Officers are encouraged to use techniques and methods taught by the Hobart-Lawrence Police Department for this specific purpose.

Use of Force

300.4 DEADLY FORCE APPLICATIONS

When reasonable, the officer shall, prior to the use of deadly force, make efforts to identify him/herself as a peace officer and to warn that deadly force may be used, unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe the person is aware of those facts.

Use of deadly force is justified in the following circumstances involving imminent threat or imminent risk:

- (a) An officer may use deadly force to protect him/herself or others from what he/she reasonably believes is an imminent threat of death or great bodily harm.
- (b) An officer may use deadly force to stop a fleeing subject when the officer has probable cause to believe that the individual has committed, or intends to commit, a felony involving the infliction or threatened infliction of great bodily harm or death, and the officer reasonably believes that there is an imminent risk of great bodily harm or death to any other person if the individual is not immediately apprehended. Under such circumstances, a verbal warning should precede the use of deadly force, where feasible.

Behavior that justifies an officer's use of deadly force is: ***that which has caused or imminently threatens to cause death or great bodily harm to you or another person or persons.*** (Source: State of Wisconsin DAAT Manual)

- **Imminent** - (Source: State of Wisconsin DAAT Manual) The word imminent means "about to happen." An imminent threat is an immediate threat. For a subject's threat to be considered imminent, it must meet three criteria:
 - Intent
 - Weapon
 - Delivery System
- **Preclusion** - (Source: State of Wisconsin DAAT Manual) Before you can use deadly force, you must reasonably believe that all other options have been exhausted or would be ineffective. In other words, deadly force is always a last resort. This concept is called preclusion. In many deadly -force situations, an officer will not have time or the ability to try other options - if feasible, an officer should give a verbal warning before firing, but this is not always possible, and in some cases may not be desirable. A verbal warning is feasible if you have sufficient time, distance, and/or cover from the subject posing the deadly threat, such that the verbal warning would not increase or prolong the danger to an officer or other innocent people.
- **Target Requirements** - (Source: State of Wisconsin DAAT Manual) If an officer has determined that they face a threat that meets the requirements to permit the use of deadly force, and they have decided to shoot, they must still fulfill three target requirements:
 - Target acquisition
 - Target identification
 - Target isolation.

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

One exception to the requirement for target isolation is the **greater danger exception**. This exception allows an officer to shoot without target isolation if the consequences of not stopping the threat would be worse than the possibility of hitting an innocent person.

As the last resort, to euthanize a dangerous animal or one that is so seriously injured that humanity dictates its dispatch to alleviate further suffering, but only after careful consideration is given to the public's safety and whether other alternatives may be feasible.

300.4.1 MOVING VEHICLES

Shots fired at or from a moving vehicle involve additional considerations and risks, and are rarely effective.

When feasible, officers should take reasonable steps to move out of the path of an approaching vehicle instead of discharging their firearm at the vehicle or any of its occupants.

An officer should only discharge a firearm at a moving vehicle or its occupants when the officer reasonably believes there are no other reasonable means available to avert the imminent threat of the vehicle, or if deadly force other than the vehicle is directed at the officer or others.

Officers should not shoot at any part of a vehicle in an attempt to disable the vehicle.

300.4.2 TARGET SPECIFIC DIRECTED FIRE

Target-specific directed fire is an application of deadly force that may be appropriate in certain limited situations. It is purposeful, controlled, sustained fire directed at a perpetrator who has caused or imminently threatens to cause death or great bodily harm to you or others, but whom you may not be able to clearly observe. The purpose of target-specific directed fire is to stop the threat when no other reasonable course of action would allow officers to perform a rescue, escape from danger, or otherwise prevent death or great bodily harm.

Before using target-specific directed fire, officers must meet the imminent threat criteria (intent, weapon, delivery system) and preclusion requirement. Officers must achieve target acquisition, identification, and isolation, or else satisfy the greater danger exception, before shooting.

300.4.3 WARNING SHOTS

Discharging a firearm to compel compliance from an individual but not intended to cause physical injury is inherently dangerous and does not meet the deadly force justification. Therefore, officers shall not discharge a firearm as a warning. Warning shots are prohibited.

300.5 REPORTING THE USE OF FORCE

Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report, depending on the nature of the incident. The officer should articulate the factors perceived and why he/she believed the use of force was reasonable under the circumstances.

To collect data for purposes of training, resource allocation, analysis, and related purposes, the Department may require the completion of additional report forms, as specified in department

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

policy, procedure, or law. See the Report Preparation Policy for additional circumstances that may require documentation.

300.5.1 NOTIFICATIONS TO SUPERVISORS

Supervisory notification shall be made as soon as practicable following the application of force in any of the following circumstances:

- (a) The application caused a visible injury.
- (b) The application would lead a reasonable officer to conclude that the individual may have experienced more than momentary discomfort.
- (c) The individual subjected to the force complained of injury or continuing pain.
- (d) The individual indicates intent to pursue litigation.
- (e) Any application of the TASER device or control device.
- (f) Any application of a restraint device other than handcuffs, shackles, or belly chains.
- (g) The individual subjected to the force was rendered unconscious.
- (h) An individual was struck or kicked.
- (i) An individual alleges unreasonable force was used or that any of the above has occurred.
- (j) Any use of force at the level of decentralization or above, consistent with Wisconsin DAAT Manual shall be reported to a supervisor.

300.5.2 REPORTING TO WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Statistical data regarding all qualifying use of force incidents is to be reported to the Wisconsin Department of Justice as required by Wis. Stat. § 165.845. For the purposes of this section, a qualifying use of force incident means any incident (Wis. Stat. § 165.845):

- (a) Involving the discharge of a firearm by an officer at or in the direction of a civilian.
- (b) Involving the discharge of a firearm by a civilian at or in the direction of an officer.
- (c) Involving any action taken by an officer in response to an act of resistance that results in great bodily harm or death (Wis. Stat. § 939.22).
- (d) Involving an act of resistance taken by a civilian against an officer that results in great bodily harm or death.

300.6 MEDICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Once it is reasonably safe to do so, medical assistance shall be obtained for any person who exhibits signs of physical distress, has sustained visible injury, expresses a complaint of injury or continuing pain, or was rendered unconscious. Any individual exhibiting signs of physical distress after an encounter should be continuously monitored until he/she can be medically assessed. Individuals should not be placed on their stomachs for an extended period, as this could impair their ability to breathe.

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

Based upon the officer's initial assessment of the nature and extent of the individual's injuries, medical assistance may consist of examination by an emergency medical services provider or medical personnel at a hospital or jail. If any such individual refuses medical attention, such a refusal shall be fully documented in related reports and, whenever practicable, should be witnessed by another officer and/or medical personnel. If a recording is made of the contact or an interview with the individual, any refusal should be included in the recording, if possible.

The on-scene supervisor or, if the on-scene supervisor is not available, the primary handling officer shall ensure that any person providing medical care or receiving custody of a person following any use of force is informed that the person was subjected to force. This notification shall include a description of the force used and any other circumstances the officer reasonably believes would be potential safety or medical risks to the subject (e.g., prolonged struggle, extreme agitation, impaired respiration).

Individuals who exhibit extreme agitation, violent irrational behavior accompanied by profuse sweating, extraordinary strength beyond their physical characteristics, and imperviousness to pain (sometimes called "excited delirium"), or who require a protracted physical encounter with multiple officers to be brought under control, may be at an increased risk of sudden death. Calls involving these persons should be considered medical emergencies. Officers who reasonably suspect a medical emergency should request medical assistance as soon as practicable and have medical personnel stage away.

See the Medical Aid and Response Policy for additional guidelines.

300.7 SUPERVISOR OR OFFICER IN CHARGE (OIC) RESPONSIBILITIES

A supervisor or Officer in Charge (OIC) should respond to a reported application of force resulting in visible injury, if reasonably available. When a supervisor or OIC is able to respond to an incident in which there has been a reported application of force, the supervisor is expected to:

- (a) Obtain the basic facts from the involved officers. Absent an allegation of misconduct or excessive force, this will be considered a routine contact in the normal course of duties.
- (b) Ensure that any injured parties are examined and treated.
- (c) When possible, separately obtain a recorded interview with the individual upon whom force was applied. If this interview is conducted without the individual having voluntarily waived his/her *Miranda* rights, the following shall apply:
 1. The content of the interview should not be summarized or included in any related criminal charges.
 2. The fact that a recorded interview was conducted should be documented in a property or other report.
 3. The recording of the interview should be distinctly marked for retention until all potential for civil litigation has expired.

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

- (d) Once any initial medical assessment has been completed or first aid has been rendered, ensure that photographs have been taken of any areas involving visible injury or complaint of pain, as well as overall photographs of uninjured areas.
 - 1. These photographs should be retained until all potential for civil litigation has expired.
- (e) Identify any witnesses not already included in related reports.
- (f) Review and approve all related reports.
- (g) Determine if there is any indication that the individual may pursue civil litigation.
 - 1. If there is an indication of potential civil litigation, the supervisor should complete and route a notification of a potential claim through the appropriate channels.
- (h) Evaluate the circumstances surrounding the incident and initiate an administrative investigation if there is a question of policy noncompliance or if for any reason further investigation may be appropriate.

In the event that a supervisor or OIC is unable to respond to the scene of an incident involving the reported application of force, the supervisor is still expected to complete as many of the above items as circumstances permit. If a supervisor is involved in a use of force, he or she will contact the Captain and notify him or her of the situation as soon as practical. If an OIC is involved in a use of force and there is not an on-duty supervisor on, he or she will contact the Captain and notify him or her of the situation as soon as practical.

300.8 CAPTAIN RESPONSIBILITY

The Captain shall review each use of force by any personnel with his/her command to ensure compliance with this policy and to address any training issues.

300.9 POLICY AVAILABILITY

The Chief of Police or the authorized designee should ensure that this policy (Wis. Stat. § 66.0511):

- (a) Is made available free of charge within three business days of the request.
- (b) Is publicly available on the department website and updated promptly upon amendment.

300.10 TRAINING

Officers will receive periodic training on this policy and demonstrate their knowledge and understanding.

Subject to available resources, officers should receive periodic training on:

- (a) Guidelines regarding vulnerable populations, including but not limited to children, elderly, pregnant persons, and individuals with physical, mental, or intellectual disabilities.
- (b) De-escalation tactics, including alternatives to force.

Hobart-Lawrence Police Department

Policy Manual

Use of Force

Training of force techniques not covered in the Defensive and Arrest Tactics (DAAT) program established by the Wisconsin Department of Justice - Law Enforcement Standards Board (LESB) are permitted if the following conditions are met:

- The technique is trained by an instructor who has received credible training in the performance and instruction of the technique and has maintained certifications in the training, where applicable.
- The technique is evaluated for inclusion in the Intervention Options, found in Appendix B of this policy. This evaluation will consider the purpose and goal of the technique and the propensity for injury. When possible, the technique will be compared to LESB approved techniques to guide the decision, ultimately to be made by the Chief of Police or his/her designee and a certified DAAT instructor.
- Training of the techniques shall be performed in accordance with the *Training* policy.

300.11 USE OF FORCE ANALYSIS

At least annually, the Captain should prepare an analysis report on use of force incidents. The report should be submitted to the Chief of Police. The report should not contain the names of officers, suspects, or case numbers, and should include:

- (a) The identification of any trends in the use of force by members.
- (b) Training needs recommendations.
- (c) Equipment needs recommendations.
- (d) Policy revision recommendations.

300.12 APPENDIX

[See attachment: Use of Force Appendix A.pdf](#)

[See attachment: Use of Force Appendix B.pdf](#)

Attachments

Use of Force Appendix A.pdf

Appendix A

DISTURBANCE RESOLUTION

(First approved by the Law Enforcement Standards Board on March 2002 and revised March 2007)

1) APPROACH CONSIDERATIONS

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| A. Decision-Making | Justification
Desirability |
| B. Tactical Deployment | Control of Distance
Relative Positioning
Relative Positioning with Multiple Subjects
Team Tactics |
| C. Tactical Evaluation | Threat Assessment Opportunities
Officer/Subject Factors
Special Circumstances
Level/Stage/Degree of Stabilization |

2) INTERVENTION OPTIONS

MODE

PURPOSE

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| A. Presence | To present a visible display of authority |
| B. Dialogue | To verbally persuade |
| C. Control Alternatives | To overcome passive resistance, active resistance, or their threats |
| D. Protective Alternatives | To overcome continued resistance, assaultive behavior, or their threats |
| E. Deadly Force | To stop the threat |

3) FOLLOW-THROUGH CONSIDERATIONS

- | | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| A. Stabilize | Application of restraints, if necessary |
| B. Monitor/Debrief | |
| C. Search | If appropriate |
| D. Escort | If necessary |
| E. Transport | If necessary |
| F. Turn-Over/Release | Removal of restraints, if necessary |

Use of Force Appendix B.pdf

Intervention Options

Mode	Tactic(s)	Purpose
Presence	Professional Presence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Open Stance</i> ▪ <i>Ready Stance</i> ▪ <i>Defensive Stance</i> 	To present a visible display of authority
Dialogue	Tactical Communication <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Search Talk</i> ▪ <i>Persuasion</i> ▪ <i>Light Control Talk</i> ▪ <i>Heavy Control Talk</i> 	To verbally persuade
Control Alternatives	Escort Holds <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Blanket the Arm</i> ▪ <i>Escort Position</i> Compliance Holds <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Come-along</i> ▪ <i>Pressure Points</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Mandibular Angle</i> • <i>Hypoglossal</i> Control Devices <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>O.C.</i> ▪ <i>Electronic Control Device</i> Passive Countermeasures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Decentralizations</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Secure-the-Head</i> • <i>Hug-Yourself</i> • <i>Lower-Your-Center</i> • <i>Pull-In/Push-Down</i> 	To overcome passive resistance, active resistance, or their threats
Protective Alternatives	Active Countermeasures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Vertical Stuns</i> ▪ <i>Focused Strikes</i> Incapacitating Techniques <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Diffused Strike</i> Intermediate Weapons <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>12 ga. Bean Bag Projectile</i> Canine Apprehension	
Deadly Force	Firearm	To stop an imminent threat